

ℓ -adic GKZ Hypergeometric Sheaf *

Lei Fu

Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China
leifu@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

To a torus action on a complex vector space, Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky introduce a system of differential equations, called the GKZ hypergeometric system. Its solutions are GKZ hypergeometric functions. For the nonconfluent case (i.e. regular singularity case), they prove the system is holonomic, and is irreducible if the so-called non-resonance condition holds, and they calculate the dimension of the space of hypergeometric functions at a generic point. Adolphson studies the general case (with possibly irregular singularity, i.e. the confluent case). He proves the general GKZ hypergeometric system is still holonomic, calculates the dimension of the space of hypergeometric functions at a generic point, and conjectures that the system is irreducible under the non-resonance condition. We study these problems in the ℓ -adic context. We introduce the ℓ -adic GKZ hypergeometric complex, an object in the derived category of ℓ -adic sheaves on the affine space over a finite field. Traces of Frobenius on stalks of this complex at rational points of the affine space define the hypergeometric functions over the finite field introduced by Gelfand and Graev. We prove that the ℓ -adic GKZ hypergeometric complex is perverse, calculate the dimension of the stalk of this perverse sheaf at the generic point, and prove it is irreducible under the non-resonance condition.

Key words: GKZ hypergeometric system, ℓ -adic GKZ hypergeometric sheaf, Deligne-Fourier transformation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14F20; Secondary 11T23, 14G15, 33C70.

Introduction

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & \cdots & w_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_{n1} & \cdots & w_{nN} \end{pmatrix}$$

be an $(n \times N)$ -matrix with integer entries of rank n . Denote the column vectors of A by $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. It defines an action of the n -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^n = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$:

$$\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N, \quad \left((t_1, \dots, t_n), (x_1, \dots, x_N) \right) \mapsto (t_1^{w_{11}} \cdots t_n^{w_{n1}} x_1, \dots, t_1^{w_{1N}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nN}} x_N).$$

*The main part of this paper is worked out during my visit of IHES. I would like to thank IHES for its hospitality. I am especially grateful to O. Gabber for pointing out many subtle points which I ignored. My research is supported by the NSFC (10525107).

Let $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a fixed parameter. In [9], Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky define the *A-hypergeometric system* to be the system of differential equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij} x_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + \gamma_i f = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$\prod_{a_j > 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^{a_j} f = \prod_{a_j < 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^{-a_j} f,$$

where for the second system of equations, $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ goes over the family of integral linear relations

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \mathbf{w}_j = 0$$

among $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$. Holomorphic solutions of the *A-hypergeometric systems* are called *A-hypergeometric functions*. We often call the *A-hypergeometric system* as the *GKZ hypergeometric system*. Set

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(t_1^{w_{11}} \dots t_n^{w_{n1}} x_1, \dots, t_1^{w_{1N}} \dots t_n^{w_{nN}} x_N).$$

It follows from the first system of equations in the GKZ hypergeometric system that

$$t_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial t_i} = -\gamma_i g,$$

that is, f is homogeneous of degree $(-\gamma_1, \dots, -\gamma_n)$ with respect to the torus action. We say A satisfies the *nonconfluence condition* if there exist integers $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, N).$$

Theorem 0.1 (Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky, [9, 10]). *Suppose that A satisfies the nonconfluence condition, that $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$ generate $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and that the ring $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{w_{11}} \dots t_n^{w_{n1}}, \dots, t_1^{w_{1N}} \dots t_n^{w_{nN}}]$ is normal. Let Δ be the convex hull of $\{0, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N\}$.*

- (i) *The GKZ hypergeometric system is holonomic.*
- (ii) *The dimension of the space of GKZ hypergeometric functions at a generic point is $n! \text{vol}(\Delta)$.*
- (iii) *If $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the so-called non-resonance condition, then the sheaf of GKZ hypergeometric functions defines an irreducible local system on a Zariski dense open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

We refer the reader to [10, §2.9] for the definition of the non-resonance condition.

A theorem of Hotta ([11, §6]) says that if A satisfies nonconfluence condition, then the GKZ hypergeometric system is regular holonomic. In [1], Adolphson studies the GKZ-system without the nonconfluence condition, and he proves the following.

Theorem 0.2 (Adolphson, [1]).

- (i) *The GKZ hypergeometric system is holonomic.*
- (ii) *Suppose $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the so-called semi-nonresonance condition. Then the dimension of the space of GKZ hypergeometric functions at a generic point is $n! \text{vol}(\Delta) / [\mathbb{Z}^n : M']$, where Δ is the convex hull of $\{0, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N\}$, and M' is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N\}$.*

We refer the reader to [1, pg. 284] for the definition of the semi-nonresonance condition.

Adolphson conjectures that even without the nonconfluence condition, the sheaf of GKZ hypergeometric functions defines an irreducible local system on a Zariski dense open subset of $\mathbb{C}^N$ if $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the non-resonance condition.

In this paper, we introduce the ℓ -adic GKZ hypergeometric sheaf, and we prove theorems of Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky and Adolphson, and verify Adolphson's conjecture in this context.

As explained in [1, §2], the formal integral

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_N, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \int_C t_1^{\gamma_1} \dots t_n^{\gamma_n} e^{\sum_{j=1}^N x_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \dots t_n^{w_{nj}}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \dots \frac{dt_n}{t_n}$$

is a solution of the GKZ-system, where C is a cycle in $\mathbb{T}^n$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements of characteristic p , let ℓ be a prime number distinct from p , let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ be multiplicative characters, and let $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ be a nontrivial additive character. In [7] and [8], Gelfand and Graev define the *hypergeometric function over the finite field* to be

$$\text{Hyp}_\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(t_1) \dots \chi_n(t_n) \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \dots t_n^{w_{nj}} \right).$$

We call this sum the *GKZ hypergeometric sum*. It is an analogue over finite field of the above formal integral. Note that $\text{Hyp}_\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is homogeneous with respect to the torus action in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hyp}_\psi(t_1^{w_{11}} \dots t_n^{w_{n1}} x_1, \dots, t_1^{w_{1N}} \dots t_n^{w_{nN}} x_N; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\ &= \chi_1^{-1}(t_1) \dots \chi_n^{-1}(t_n) \text{Hyp}_\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Hypergeometric sums over finite fields in [12, (8.2.7)] are special cases of the GKZ hypergeometric sum. Indeed, let A be the $((n+m-1) \times (n+m))$ -matrix

$$A = (I_{n+m-1}, \mathbf{w}_{n+m}),$$

where I_{n+m-1} is the identity matrix of size $n+m-1$, and $\mathbf{w}_{n+m}$ is the transpose of the vector

$$\underbrace{(-1, \dots, -1)}_{n-1}, \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_m.$$

Then the GKZ hypergeometric sum associated to this matrix evaluated at

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_m, x)$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hyp}_\psi(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_m, x; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n+m-1}) \\ &= \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_{n+m-1}} \chi_1(t_1) \dots \chi_{n+m-1}(t_{n+m-1}) \psi \left(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1} - t_n - \dots - t_{n+m-1} + \frac{x t_n \dots t_{n+m-1}}{t_1 \dots t_{n-1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is exactly Katz's hypergeometric sum $\text{Hyp}(\psi, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-1}, 1; \chi_n^{-1}, \dots, \chi_{n+m-1}^{-1})(x)$. So Katz's hypergeometric sum can be expressed in terms of the GKZ hypergeometric sum. Conversely, using the homogeneity property of the GKZ hypergeometric sum with respect to the torus action, one can also express the GKZ hypergeometric sum associated to the above matrix A evaluated at any arbitrary point $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m})$ in terms of the (one-variable) Katz's hypergeometric sum. An interesting special case of Katz's hypergeometric sum is the Kloosterman sum

$$\text{Kl}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, 1)(x) = \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_n} \chi_1(t_1) \cdots \chi_n(t_n) \psi \left(t_1 + \cdots + t_n + \frac{x}{t_1 \cdots t_n} \right).$$

It is the GKZ hypergeometric sum $\text{Hyp}_\psi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ associated to the $(n \times (n+1))$ -matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & -1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

evaluated at $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = (1, \dots, 1, x)$. Using its homogeneity property, the GKZ hypergeometric sum associated to this matrix A can be expressed in terms of the Kloosterman sum.

Denote by $\mathbb{A}^N$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}^n$) the N -dimensional affine space (resp. n -dimensional torus) over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. For each i , let $\mathcal{K}_{\chi_i}$ be the Kummer sheaf on $\mathbb{T}^1$ associated to the multiplicative character χ_i , and let $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ be the Artin-Schreier sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1$ associated to the nontrivial additive character ψ . (Confer [3, Sommes trig. 1.7] for the definition and properties of the Kummer sheaf and the Artin-Schreier sheaf). Let

$$\pi_1 : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n, \quad \pi_2 : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$$

be the projections, and let F be the morphism

$$F : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n, x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^N x_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}}.$$

We define the ℓ -adic GKZ hypergeometric sheaf to be the object in the derived category $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves on $\mathbb{A}^N$ given by

$$\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = R\pi_{2!} \left(\pi_1^* (\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}) \otimes F^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N].$$

By the Grothendieck trace formula ([3, Rapport 3.2]), for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{A}^N(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we have

$$\text{Hyp}_\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = (-1)^{n+N} \text{Tr} \left(\text{Frob}_x, (\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n))_{\bar{x}} \right),$$

where Frob_x is the geometric Frobenius at x . The main result of this papers are the following theorems.

Theorem 0.3.

- (i) $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is a perverse sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^N$.
- (ii) Suppose $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$ generate $\mathbb{Z}^N$, and suppose $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ satisfies the non-resonance condition. Then $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is an irreducible pure perverse sheaf of weight $n + N$.

The non-resonance condition is defined as follows. Let Σ be the convex polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$. For any proper face Γ of Σ , let $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma$ be the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d_\Gamma}, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}})_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma},$$

where $d_\Gamma = \#\{j | \mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma\}$. We say $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ satisfies the *non-resonance condition* if for any proper face Γ of Σ , the restriction of $\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}$ to the identity component of $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}$ is nontrivial, where $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Since any proper face of Σ is contained in a codimension one face, to check the non-resonance condition, it suffices to work with those proper faces Γ of Σ of codimension one.

Theorem 0.3 (ii) was also obtained by T. Terasoma ([15]) based on a different definition of the nonresonance condition.

Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}}$ be a Laurent polynomial such that $a_j \in \mathbb{F}$ are all nonzero, and let Δ be the convex hull in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the set $\{0, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N\}$. We say f is *nondegenerate with respect to Δ* if for any face τ of Δ not containing the origin, the subscheme of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}^n$ defined by

$$\frac{\partial f_\tau}{\partial x_1} = \cdots = \frac{\partial f_\tau}{\partial x_n} = 0$$

is empty, where $f_\tau = \sum_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \tau} a_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}}$.

Theorem 0.4. *Let Δ be the convex hull of $\{0, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N\}$. Suppose there exists a Zariski dense open subset U of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}^N$ such that for any $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in U(\mathbb{F})$, the Laurent polynomial $f = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}}$ is nondegenerate with respect to Δ . Then the dimension of $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ at a generic point is $(-1)^N n! \text{vol}(\Delta)$.*

Note that for a given matrix $A = (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N)$, there exists a fixed integer M such that for any finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $p \geq M$, there exists a Zariski dense open subset U of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}^N$ such that $\mathbb{F}$ -points in U give rise to nondegenerate Laurent polynomials.

Corresponding to Hotta's result about the regularity of the GKZ system under the nonconfluence condition, we have the following.

Theorem 0.5. *Suppose the matrix A satisfies the nonconfluence condition, that is, there exist integers $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + c_n \mathbf{w}_n = 0$. Let $\chi' = \chi_1^{c_1} \cdots \chi_n^{c_n}$.*

(i) *Suppose χ' is nontrivial. Let $G(\chi', \psi)$ is the rank one lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$ so that the geometric Frobenius acts by multiplication of the Gauss sum $g(\chi', \psi) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi'(t) \psi(t)$, and we denote the inverse image of $G(\chi', \psi)$ on any $\mathbb{F}_q$ -scheme also by $G(\chi', \psi)$. Then there exists an object $\mathcal{H}$ in the derived category $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^N$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ such that $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is a direct factor of $(\mathcal{H}|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^N}) \otimes G(\chi', \psi)$. In particular, over the algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^N}$ is a direct factor of $\mathcal{H}|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^N}$.*

(ii) *If χ' is trivial, then there exists an object $\mathcal{H}$ in $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is a direct factor of $\mathcal{H}|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^N}$.*

In both cases, $\mathcal{H}$ is independent of the choice of the additive character ψ .

The paper is organized as follows. In §1, we prove that $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is the Deligne-Fourier transform of a perverse sheaf, and this perverse sheaf is pure and irreducible if the non-resonance condition holds. This implies Theorem 0.3. In §1, we also prove Theorem 0.4 using the work of Denef-Loeser ([4]) on exponential sums. In §2, we prove Theorem 0.5.

1 Fourier Transformation of the GKZ Hypergeometric Sheaf

Let $\mathbb{A}'^N$ be the dual affine space of $\mathbb{A}^N$. Denote by

$$FT_\psi : D_c^b(\mathbb{A}'^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rightarrow D_c^b(\mathbb{A}^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

the Deligne-Fourier transformation associated to the character ψ . Recall that for any $K \in \text{ob } D_c^b(\mathbb{A}'^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we have

$$FT_\psi(K) = Rp_{2!}(p_1^*K \otimes \langle , \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[N],$$

where

$$p_1 : \mathbb{A}'^N \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'^N, \quad p_2 : \mathbb{A}'^N \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$$

are the projections, and $\langle , \rangle$ is the morphism

$$\langle , \rangle : \mathbb{A}'^N \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, \quad ((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N), (x_1, \dots, x_N)) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \xi_j.$$

Confer [14] for the properties of the Deligne-Fourier transformation.

Consider the morphism

$$\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'^N, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1^{w_{11}} \cdots t_n^{w_{n1}}, \dots, t_1^{w_{1N}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nN}}).$$

By abuse of notation, we denote the morphism $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N$ induced by ι also by ι .

Lemma 1.1. *The morphism ι is quasi-finite and affine, and we have*

$$FT_\psi \left(\iota_! (\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})[n] \right) \cong \text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n).$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{T}^n$ and $\mathbb{A}'^N$ are affine schemes, ι is an affine morphism. Recall that the matrix $A = (w_{ij})$ has rank n . So over $\mathbb{Q}$, the vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n$ generate $\mathbb{Q}^n$. This implies that there exists an $(N \times n)$ -matrix $B = (v_{ij})$ with integer entries such that $AB = dI_n$ for some nonzero integer d , where I_n is the identity matrix. Consider the morphism

$$\iota' : \mathbb{T}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n, \quad (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \mapsto (\xi_1^{v_{11}} \cdots \xi_N^{v_{n1}}, \dots, \xi_1^{v_{1n}} \cdots \xi_N^{v_{nn}}).$$

One can verify that the composite $\iota' \iota$ coincides with the morphism

$$\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1^d, \dots, t_n^d).$$

So $\iota' \iota$ is a finite morphism. This implies that $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N$ is finite, and hence $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'^N$ is quasi-finite.

Fix notation by the following commutative diagram, where all squares are Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathbb{A}'^N \times \mathbb{A}^N & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathbb{A}^N \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{T}^n & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{A}'^N & \rightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_q. \end{array}$$

For convenience, denote the sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ by $\mathcal{K}_\chi$. By the proper base change theorem and the projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} FT_\psi(\iota_! \mathcal{K}_\chi[n]) &\cong Rp_{2!}(p_1^* \iota_! \mathcal{K}_\chi \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[n+N] \\ &\cong Rp_{2!}(\iota'_! \pi_1^* \mathcal{K}_\chi \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[n+N] \\ &\cong Rp_{2!} \iota'_! (\pi_1^* \mathcal{K}_\chi \otimes \iota'^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[n+N]. \end{aligned}$$

We have $p_2 \iota' = \pi_2$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \circ \iota' = F$, where $\pi_2 : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$ is the projection and $F : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is the morphism defined by

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n, x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}}.$$

So we have

$$FT_\psi(\iota_! \mathcal{K}_\chi[n]) \cong R\pi_{2!}(\pi_1^* \mathcal{K}_\chi \otimes F^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[n+N] = \operatorname{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n).$$

□

Lemma 1.2. *Let S be the closed subset of $\mathbb{A}'^N = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_q[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N]$ defined by vanishing of those polynomials*

$$\prod_{a_j > 0} \xi_j^{a_j} - \prod_{a_j < 0} \xi_j^{-a_j}$$

whenever we have an integral linear relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \mathbf{w}_j = 0 \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

and put the reduced closed subscheme structure on S . Let Σ be the convex polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$, and let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. For any $\mathbb{F}$ -points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ in S , there exists a face Γ of Σ such that $\xi_j \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma$. (It is possible that $\Gamma = \emptyset$ or Σ).

Proof. Let $\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_r}$ be those nonzero coordinates of ξ , and let Γ be the convex polyhedral cone generated by $\mathbf{w}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{j_r}$. First let's prove Γ is a face of Σ . If Γ is not a face of Σ , then there exist $x, y \in \Sigma$ with the property $x + y \in \Gamma$ but either $x \notin \Gamma$ or $y \notin \Gamma$. (Confer [6, Exercise on pg. 15]). As $x, y \in \Sigma$, we can write

$$x = \mu_1 \mathbf{w}_{k_1} + \cdots + \mu_s \mathbf{w}_{k_s}, \quad y = \mu'_1 \mathbf{w}_{k'_1} + \cdots + \mu'_{s'} \mathbf{w}_{k'_{s'}},$$

for some $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_{s'} > 0$ and $k_1, \dots, k_s, k'_1, \dots, k'_{s'} \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Since either $x \notin \Gamma$ or $y \notin \Gamma$, the set $\{k_1, \dots, k_s, k'_1, \dots, k'_{s'}\}$ is not contained in $\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$. On the other hand, since $x + y \in \Gamma$, we have

$$x + y = \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}_{j_1} + \cdots + \lambda_r \mathbf{w}_{j_r}$$

for some $\lambda_i \geq 0$. We thus have

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{w}_{j_1} + \cdots + \lambda_r \mathbf{w}_{j_r} = \mu_1 \mathbf{w}_{k_1} + \cdots + \mu_s \mathbf{w}_{k_s} + \mu'_1 \mathbf{w}_{k'_1} + \cdots + \mu'_{s'} \mathbf{w}_{k'_{s'}}.$$

Moreover, we may choose x and y so that λ 's and μ 's are all integers. Since ξ is an $\mathbb{F}$ -point in S , we have

$$\xi_{j_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots \xi_{j_r}^{\lambda_r} = \xi_{k_1}^{\mu_1} \cdots \xi_{k_s}^{\mu_s} \xi_{k'_1}^{\mu'_1} \cdots \xi_{k'_{s'}}^{\mu'_{s'}}.$$

Since $\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_r} \neq 0$, and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_{s'}$ are all positive, the above equation implies that $\xi_{k_1}, \dots, \xi_{k_s}, \xi_{k'_1}, \dots, \xi_{k'_{s'}} \neq 0$. We thus have $k_1, \dots, k_s, k'_1, \dots, k'_{s'} \in \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$. This contradicts to the fact that $\{k_1, \dots, k_s, k'_1, \dots, k'_{s'}\}$ is not contained in $\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$. So Γ is a face of Σ . If $\xi_j \neq 0$, then we have $\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma$ by the definition of Γ . Conversely, if $\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma$, then we have

$$\mathbf{w}_j = a_1 \mathbf{w}_{j_1} + \cdots + a_r \mathbf{w}_{j_r}$$

for some non-negative rational numbers $a_1, \dots, a_r$. Let d be a common denominator of $a_1, \dots, a_r$. Then we have

$$\xi_j^d = \xi_{j_1}^{da_1} \cdots \xi_{j_r}^{da_r}.$$

Since $\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_r} \neq 0$, we must have $\xi_j \neq 0$. □

Lemma 1.3. *Let Σ be the convex polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$. For any face Γ of Σ , consider the morphism*

$$\iota_\Gamma : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d_\Gamma}, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}})_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma},$$

where $d_\Gamma = \#\{\mathbf{w}_j | \mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma\}$. An $\mathbb{F}$ -points $(\xi_j)_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma}$ in $\mathbb{T}^{d_\Gamma}$ lies in the image of ι_Γ if and only if

$$\prod_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma} \xi_j^{a_j} = 1$$

whenever we have an integral linear relation

$$\sum_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma} a_j \mathbf{w}_j = 0 \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we only treat the case where $\Gamma = \Sigma$. Let $P = (\lambda_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $Q = (\mu_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ be invertible matrices with integer entries of size n and N , respectively. Make the change of variables

$$t_1 = s_1^{\lambda_{11}} \cdots s_n^{\lambda_{n1}}, \dots, t_n = s_1^{\lambda_{1n}} \cdots s_n^{\lambda_{nn}}$$

for the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$, and make the change of variables

$$\zeta_1 = \xi_1^{\mu_{11}} \cdots \xi_N^{\mu_{N1}}, \dots, \zeta_N = \xi_1^{\mu_{1N}} \cdots \xi_N^{\mu_{NN}}$$

for the torus $\mathbb{T}^N$. With respect to the coordinate system $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ and the coordinate system $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N)$ on $\mathbb{T}^N$, the morphism $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N$ can be expressed as

$$(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (s_1^{w'_{11}} \cdots s_n^{w'_{n1}}, \dots, s_1^{w'_{1N}} \cdots s_n^{w'_{nN}}),$$

where $A' = (w'_{ij}) = (\mathbf{w}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}'_N)$ is the $(n \times N)$ -matrix PAQ . We can find $P \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $Q \in \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$A' = PAQ = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & d_r & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $d_1 | d_2 | \dots | d_r \neq 0$, and r being the rank of A . (We do not use the assumption that the rank of A is n for generality). Then the morphism $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N$ is give by

$$(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (s_1^{d_1}, \dots, s_r^{d_r}, 1, \dots, 1).$$

It is clear that an $\mathbb{F}$ -points $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N)$ in $\mathbb{T}^N$ lies in the image of ι if and only if $\zeta_{r+1} = \dots = \zeta_N = 1$, corresponding to the relations $\mathbf{w}'_{r+1} = 0, \dots, \mathbf{w}'_N = 0$. This proves our assertion. $\square$

Lemma 1.4. *The closed subscheme S defined in Lemma 1.2 is the scheme theoretic image of the morphism $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'^N$.*

Proof. Let Σ be the convex polyhedral cone generated by $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N\}$, and let D_j be the coordinate plane in $\mathbb{A}'^N$ defined by $\xi_j = 0$. For any proper face Γ of Σ , let S_Γ be the reduced subscheme of S with underlying topological space

$$S_\Gamma = S \cap \left(\bigcap_{\mathbf{w}_j \notin \Gamma} D_j \right) - \bigcup_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma} D_j.$$

Note that an $\mathbb{F}$ -point $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ in S lies in S_Γ if it has the property that $\xi_j \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma$. By Lemmas 1.2-1.3, we have

$$S = \mathrm{im}(\iota) \coprod \left(\coprod_{\Gamma \prec \Sigma, \Gamma \neq \Sigma} S_\Gamma \right).$$

To prove our assertion, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{im}(\iota)$ is dense in S . We have an action of the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ on S defined by the morphism

$$\bar{s} : \mathbb{T}^n \times S \rightarrow S, \quad \left((t_1, \dots, t_n), (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \right) \mapsto (t_1^{w_{11}} \dots t_n^{w_{n1}} \xi_1, \dots, t_1^{w_{1N}} \dots t_n^{w_{nN}} \xi_N).$$

(S is a toric variety, possibly non-normal.) First note that for any nonempty face Γ of Σ (including the case where $\Gamma = \Sigma$), the F -point $\xi_\Gamma^{(0)} = (\xi_1^{(0)}, \dots, \xi_N^{(0)})$ of $\mathbb{A}'^N$ defined by

$$\xi_j^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_j \notin \Gamma \end{cases}$$

lies in S . Indeed, given any integral linear relation $\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \mathbf{w}_j = 0$, if we have $a_j = 0$ for any $\mathbf{w}_j \notin \Gamma$, then we have

$$\prod_{a_j > 0} \xi_j^{(0) a_j} = \prod_{a_j < 0} \xi_j^{(0) -a_j} = 1.$$

We claim that if there exists a $\mathbf{w}_{j_0} \notin \Gamma$ such that $a_{j_0} > 0$, then there must exist a $\mathbf{w}_{j'_0} \notin \Gamma$ such that $a_{j'_0} < 0$, and vice versa. In this case, we have

$$\prod_{a_j > 0} \xi_j^{(0)^{a_j}} = \prod_{a_j < 0} \xi_j^{(0)^{-a_j}} = 0.$$

Therefore $\xi_\Gamma^{(0)}$ is a point in S .

Let's prove the above claim. Suppose for any $w_j \notin \Gamma$, we have $a_j \geq 0$. We have the relation

$$\left(\sum_{w_j \in \Gamma, a_j > 0} a_j \mathbf{w}_j \right) + \left(\sum_{w_j \notin \Gamma} a_j \mathbf{w}_j \right) = \sum_{w_j \in \Gamma, a_j < 0} (-a_j) \mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma.$$

Each term in the above relation lies in Σ . Since Γ is a face of Σ , if a sum of elements in Σ lies in Γ , then each summand lies in Γ ([6, Exercise on pg. 15]). The above relation then implies that $\mathbf{w}_{j_0} \in \Gamma$. Contradiction.

For any nonempty face Γ of Σ , the $\mathbb{F}$ -points $\xi_\Gamma^{(0)}$ lies S_Γ . Combined with Lemma 1.3, we see that S_Γ is the orbit containing $\xi_\Gamma^{(0)}$ of the action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ on S . Note that $S_\Sigma = \text{im}(\iota)$. To prove $\text{im}(\iota)$ is dense in S , it suffices to show that $\xi_\Gamma^{(0)}$ lies in the Zariski closure of $\text{im}(\iota)$ for any nonempty proper face Γ of Σ , and that points (if any) in $S_\emptyset$ also lies in the Zariski closure of $\text{im}(\iota)$.

For any nonempty proper face Γ of Σ , there exists $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that

$$\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_{ij} \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{w}_j$, and we have $\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}_j \rangle = 0$ if and only $\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma$. For any $t \in \mathbb{F}^*$, let $\zeta(t) = (\zeta(t)_1, \dots, \zeta(t)_N)$ be the $\mathbb{F}$ -points $\iota(t^{c_1}, \dots, t^{c_n})$ in $\text{im}(\iota)$. We have

$$\iota(t^{c_1}, \dots, t^{c_n}) = (t^{\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}_N \rangle}).$$

So we have

$$\zeta(t)_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma, \\ t^{d_j} & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_j \notin \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

where $d_j = \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}_j \rangle > 0$ for any $\mathbf{w}_j \notin \Gamma$. It is clear that the $\mathbb{F}$ -points $\zeta_\Gamma^{(0)}$ lies in the Zariski closure of $\{\zeta(t) | t \in \mathbb{F}^*\}$. So $\zeta_\Gamma^{(0)}$ lies in the Zariski closure of $\text{im}(\iota)$.

Finally we treat the empty face. If $S_\emptyset = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. If $S_\emptyset$ is not empty, then it has only one point, the origin 0. In order for 0 to be a point in S , there must exist $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $a_{j_1} > 0$ and $a_{j_2} < 0$ whenever we have a nontrivial integral relation $\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \mathbf{w}_j = 0$. This implies that $\mathbf{w}_j \neq 0$ for any j . Suppose $x, y \in \Sigma$ and $x + y = 0$. Write $x = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j \mathbf{w}_j$, where $\lambda_j, \mu_j \geq 0$. Then we have the relation $\sum_{j=1}^N (\lambda_j + \mu_j) \mathbf{w}_j = 0$ and $\lambda_j + \mu_j \geq 0$. By the above condition, this implies that $\lambda_j + \mu_j = 0$ for all j and hence $\lambda_j = \mu_j = 0$ for all j , that is, $x = y = 0$. By [6, Exercise on pg. 15], 0 is a face of Σ , and $\mathbf{w}_j \neq 0$. So we can find $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $d_j = \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}_j \rangle > 0$ for all j . Again let $\zeta(t) = \iota(t^{c_1}, \dots, t^{c_n})$. The same argument shows that 0 lies in the Zariski closure of $\{\zeta(t) | t \in \mathbb{F}^*\}$, and hence in the Zariski closure of $\text{im}(\iota)$. $\square$

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$ generate $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$ is an immersion. Suppose furthermore that $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$ satisfies the non-resonance condition, then the canonical morphism*

$$\iota_!(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}) \rightarrow R\iota_*(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. If $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N$ generate $\mathbb{Z}^n$, then there exists an $(N \times n)$ -matrix $B = (v_{ij})$ with integer entries such that $AB = I_n$. Consider the morphism

$$\iota' : \mathbb{T}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n, \quad (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \mapsto (\xi_1^{v_{11}} \dots \xi_N^{v_{N1}}, \dots, \xi_1^{v_{1n}} \dots \xi_N^{v_{Nn}}).$$

One can verify that $\iota'\iota = \text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^n}$. This implies that $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N$ is a closed immersion, and hence $\iota : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$ is an immersion.

Keep the notation in the proof of Lemma 1.4. We have $S = \text{im}(\iota) \coprod \left(\coprod_{\Gamma \prec \Sigma, \Gamma \neq \Sigma} S_\Gamma \right)$. To prove our assertion, it suffices to show that $(R\iota_* \mathcal{K}_\chi)|_{S_\Gamma} = 0$ for any proper face Γ of Σ under the non-resonance condition, where $\mathcal{K}_\chi = \mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}$. Let $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma$ be the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d_\Gamma}, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1^{w_{1j}} \dots t_n^{w_{nj}})_{\mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma},$$

where $d_\Gamma = \#\{j | \mathbf{w}_j \in \Gamma\}$. Note that $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma$ acts trivially on the subscheme S_Γ of S . Fix notation by the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbb{T}^n \\ \text{id} \times \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ \mathbb{T}^n \times S & \xrightarrow{\bar{s}} & S, \end{array}$$

where the morphism $s : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is the multiplication on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. Note that $\bar{s}$ is smooth since it is the composite of the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}^n \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n \times S, \quad (t, \xi) \mapsto (t, t\xi)$$

and the projection $\mathbb{T}^n \times S \rightarrow S$. By the smooth base change theorem and the Künneth formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}^* R\iota_* \mathcal{K}_\chi &\cong R(\text{id} \times \iota)_* \bar{s}^* K_\chi \\ &\cong R(\text{id} \times \iota)_* (\mathcal{K}_\chi \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_\chi) \\ &\cong \mathcal{K}_\chi \boxtimes R\iota_* K_\chi. \end{aligned}$$

Let ξ be an $\mathbb{F}$ -point of S_Γ . Taking the restriction to $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma \times \xi$ of the isomorphism $\bar{s}^* R\iota_* \mathcal{K}_\chi \cong \mathcal{K}_\chi \boxtimes R\iota_* K_\chi$ and using the fact that $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma$ acts trivially on $\xi \in S_\Gamma(\mathbb{F})$, we get an isomorphism

$$(R\iota_* \mathcal{K}_\chi)_\xi \cong (\mathcal{K}_\chi|_{\mathbb{T}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}}) \otimes (R\iota_* K_\chi)_\xi.$$

If the restriction of $\mathcal{K}_\chi$ to the identity component of $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}$ is nontrivial, (the identity component is a torus), the above isomorphism implies that $(R\iota_* \mathcal{K}_\chi)_\xi = 0$. This is true for any $\mathbb{F}$ -point of S_Γ and any proper face Γ of Σ under the non-resonance condition. Therefore $\iota_! \mathcal{K}_\chi \rightarrow R\iota_* \mathcal{K}_\chi$ is an isomorphism if the non-resonance condition holds. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorems 0.3-0.4.

Proof of Theorem 0.3. Since ι is quasi-finite and affine, $\iota_!(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})[n]$ is perverse by [2, Corollaire 4.1.3]. By Lemma 1.1 and [14, Théorème 1.3.2.3], $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is perverse. This proves Theorem 0.3 (i).

Under the condition of Theorem 0.3 (ii), Proposition 1.5 implies that

$$\iota_!(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})[n] \cong \iota_{!*}(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})[n]$$

and hence $\iota_!(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})[n]$ is an irreducible perverse sheaf by [2, Théorème 4.3.1 (ii)]. So by Lemma 1.1 and [14, Théorème 1.3.2.3], $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is also an irreducible perverse sheaf. By [2, Corollaire 5.4.3], $\iota_{!*}(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})[n]$ is a pure perverse sheaf of weight n . By Theorem 1.1, [13, Théorème 2.2.1], $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1}, \dots, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n})$ is a pure perverse sheaf of weight $n + N$.

Proof of Theorem 0.4. Theorem 0.4 can be deduced from the result in [5]. Let

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}} \in \mathbb{F}[t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}]$$

be a Laurent polynomial nondegenerate with respect to Δ . Then by [5, Proposition 0.1], we have

$$\dim H_c^i(\mathbb{T}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n} \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq n \\ n! \text{vol}(\Delta) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

So we have

$$\dim R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{T}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n} \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) = (-1)^n \text{vol}(\Delta).$$

As explained in [5], this result can be deduced from a theorem of Denef-Loeser ([4, Theorem 1.3]). Denote the $\mathbb{F}$ -point $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ in $\mathbb{A}^N$ by a . Then we have

$$(\text{Hyp}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n))_a \cong R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{T}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n} \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[n + N]$$

and hence

$$\dim(\text{Hyp}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n))_a = (-1)^N n! \text{vol}(\Delta).$$

By our assumption that there exists a dense Zariski open subset U of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}^N$ such that $\mathbb{F}$ -points in U give rise to nondegenerate Laurent polynomial. So the dimension of $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ at a generic point is $(-1)^N n! \text{vol}(\Delta)$.

2 Proof of Theorem 0.5

Suppose A satisfies the nonconfluence condition, that is, there exist integers $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, N).$$

This implies that the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}^n / \{m(c_1, \dots, c_n) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is free. Indeed, if $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $k(a_1, \dots, a_n) = m(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ for some integers $k \neq 0$ and m , then k divides $mc_1, \dots, mc_n$ and

hence k divides $m = \sum_{i=1}^n m c_i w_{ij}$. It follows that $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{m}{k}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ lies in the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by $(c_1, \dots, c_n)$. So $\mathbb{Z}^n / \{m(c_1, \dots, c_n) | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is torsion free and hence free. Therefore $\{m(c_1, \dots, c_n) | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a direct factor of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and $(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ can be extended to a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. So we can find an $(n \times n)$ -matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ with integer entries so that its row vectors form a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and that its first row is exactly $(c_1, \dots, c_n)$. The matrix C is an invertible matrix in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_{1i} w_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, N).$$

Set

$$w'_{kj} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ki} w_{ij} \quad (k = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, N).$$

We first give an heuristic argument explaining the main idea of the proof. Make the change of variables

$$t_1 = s_1^{c_{11}} \dots s_n^{c_{n1}}, \dots, t_n = s_1^{c_{1n}} \dots s_n^{c_{nn}},$$

and let

$$\chi'_i = \chi_1^{c_{i1}} \dots \chi_n^{c_{in}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then we can evaluate the GKZ hypergeometric sum as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hyp}_\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N; \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\ &= \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(t_1) \dots \chi_n(t_n) \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \dots t_n^{w_{nj}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(s_1^{c_{11}} \dots s_n^{c_{n1}}) \dots \chi_n(s_1^{c_{1n}} \dots s_n^{c_{nn}}) \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_1^{\sum_{i=1}^n c_{1i} w_{ij}} \dots s_n^{\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} w_{ij}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi'_1(s_1) \dots \chi'_n(s_n) \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_1^{w'_{1j}} \dots s_n^{w'_{nj}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s_2, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi'_2(s_2) \dots \chi'_n(s_n) \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi'_1(s_1) \psi \left(s_1 \sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_2^{w'_{2j}} \dots s_n^{w'_{nj}} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{s_2, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi'_2(s_2) \dots \chi'_n(s_n) \chi_1'^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_2^{w'_{2j}} \dots s_n^{w'_{nj}} \right) \right) g(\chi'_1, \psi), \end{aligned}$$

where $g(\chi'_1, \psi) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi'_1(t) \psi(t)$ is the Gauss sum, and the last equality holds if

$$\sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_2^{w'_{2j}} \dots s_n^{w'_{nj}} \neq 0.$$

This shows that under this condition, the GKZ hypergeometric sum is a product of the Gauss sum and a sum involving only multiplicative characters. We now give the proof of Theorem 0.5.

Proof of Theorem 0.5. Keep the notation above. The morphism

$$\begin{aligned} H : \mathbb{T}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n, \\ H(s_1, \dots, s_n) &= (s_1^{c_{11}} \cdots s_n^{c_{n1}}, \dots, s_1^{c_{1n}} \cdots s_n^{c_{nn}}) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N & & \\ & & \downarrow H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N} & & \\ \mathbb{T}^n & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{A}^N, \\ & & \downarrow F & & \\ & & \mathbb{A}^1 & & \end{array}$$

where π_1 and π_2 are projections, and F is the morphism defined by

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n, x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j t_1^{w_{1j}} \cdots t_n^{w_{nj}}.$$

We have $\pi_2(H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N}) = \pi_2$, $\pi_1(H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N}) = H\pi_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & F(H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N})(s_1, \dots, s_n, x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N x_j (s_1^{c_{11}} \cdots s_n^{c_{n1}})^{w_{1j}} \cdots (s_1^{c_{1n}} \cdots s_n^{c_{nn}})^{w_{nj}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_1^{\sum_{i=1}^n c_{1i} w_{ij}} \cdots s_n^{\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} w_{ij}} \\ &= s_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_2^{w'_{2j}} \cdots s_n^{w'_{nj}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{A}'^1$ be the dual affine line of $\mathbb{A}^1$, let $G : \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'^1$ be the morphism defined by

$$G(s_2, \dots, s_n, x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j s_2^{w'_{2j}} \cdots s_n^{w'_{nj}},$$

and let

$$\langle, \rangle : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}'^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, \quad (s, \xi) \mapsto s\xi$$

be the pairing between $\mathbb{A}^1$ and $\mathbb{A}'^1$. Denote the restriction of $\langle, \rangle$ to $\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{A}'^1$ also by $\langle, \rangle$. Then we have

$$F(H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N}) = \langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G).$$

Moreover, we have

$$H^*(\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}) \cong \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
&= R\pi_{2!} \left(\pi_1^* (\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}) \otimes F^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N] \\
&\cong R(\pi_2(H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N}))_! \left((H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N})^* \pi_1^* (\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}) \otimes (H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N})^* F^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N] \\
&\cong R\pi_{2!} \left(\pi_1^* H^* (\mathcal{K}_{\chi_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi_n}) \otimes (F(H \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^N}))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N] \\
&\cong R\pi_{2!} \left(\pi_1^* (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes (\langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N].
\end{aligned}$$

Fix notation by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{T}^1 & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^{(0)}} & \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^N & \cong & \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{A}^N \\
& & \pi_2^{(0)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\
& & \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^N & \xrightarrow{\pi_2^{(1)}} & \mathbb{A}^N \\
& & \pi_1^{(1)} \downarrow & & \\
& & \mathbb{T}^{n-1} & &
\end{array}$$

where all arrows are projections. By the projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
&\cong R\pi_{2!} \left(\pi_1^* (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes (\langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N] \\
&\cong R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} R\pi_{2!}^{(0)} \left(\pi_1^* (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes (\langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N] \\
&\cong R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} R\pi_{2!}^{(0)} \left(\pi_2^{(0)*} \pi_1^{(1)*} (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_2} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes \pi_1^{(0)*} \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes (\langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [n + N] \\
&\cong R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_2} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes R\pi_{2!}^{(0)} \left(\pi_1^{(0)*} \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes (\langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) \right) [n + N].
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 below, we have an isomorphism

$$R\pi_{2!}^{(0)} \left(\pi_1^{(0)*} \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes (\langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right) [1] \cong G^*(FT_\psi(\kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1})),$$

where $\kappa : \mathbb{T}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is the canonical open immersion. So we have

$$\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \cong R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_2} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes G^*(FT_\psi(\kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1})) \right) [n + N - 1].$$

First consider the case where $\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1}$ is nontrivial. By [14, 1.4.3], we have

$$FT_\psi(\kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1}) \cong \kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes G(\chi'_1, \psi),$$

where $G(\chi'_1, \psi)$ is the rank 1 lisse sheaf on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$ so that the geometric Frobenius acts by multiplication by the Gauss sum $g(\chi'_1, \psi) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi'_1(t) \psi(t)$, and we denote the inverse image of $G(\chi'_1, \psi)$ on any $\mathbb{F}_q$ -scheme also by $G(\chi'_1, \psi)$. So we have

$$\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \cong R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_2} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes G^* \kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \right) \otimes G(\chi'_1, \psi) [n + N - 1].$$

Let M be a positive integer so that $\chi_i'^M = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, M$. Denote by $[M]$ the endomorphisms on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ and on $\mathbb{T}^1$ defined by $x \mapsto x^m$. Then on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$, the sheaf $[M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is a direct sum of sheaves

$\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_2} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}$, where χ'_i ($i = 2, \dots, n$) run over characters of order dividing M , and on $\mathbb{T}^1$, the sheaf $[M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is a direct sum of sheaves $\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1}$, where χ'_1 runs over characters of order dividing M . It follows that $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is a direct factor of $R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes G^* \kappa_! [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \right) \otimes G(\chi'_1, \psi)[n + N - 1]$. Note that the morphisms $\pi_2^{(1)}$, $\pi_1^{(1)}$, $[M]$, G and κ are all defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. So $R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes G^* \kappa_! [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \right)$ defines an object in $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Take $\mathcal{H} = R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes G^* \kappa_! [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \right)[n + N - 1]$. It has the required property in Theorem 0.5 (i).

Next consider the case where $\chi'_1 = 1$ is trivial. In this case, by Lemma 2.2 below, there exists an object $K \in \mathbb{D}_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that

$$FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) = K|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1}.$$

We have

$$\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \cong R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} (\mathcal{K}_{\chi'_2} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_n}) \otimes G^*(K|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1}) \right)[n + N - 2].$$

The same argument as above shows that $\text{Hyp}_\psi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is a direct factor of $R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes G^*(K|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1}) \right)[n + N - 2]$. Again the morphisms $\pi_2^{(1)}$, $\pi_1^{(1)}$, $[M]$ and G are all defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, and $R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes G^* K \right)$ defines an object in $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Take $\mathcal{H} = R\pi_{2!}^{(1)} \left(\pi_1^{(1)*} [M]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes G^* K \right)[n + N - 2]$. It has the required property in Theorem 0.5 (ii).

Lemma 2.1. *Notation as above. We have an isomorphism*

$$R\pi_{2!}^{(0)} \left(\pi_1^{(0)*} \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes (\langle, \rangle \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \right)[1] \cong G^*(FT_\psi(\kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1})),$$

Proof. Fix notation by the following commutative diagram of Cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^N & & & \\
& & \swarrow \text{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G & & \searrow \kappa' & & \\
& \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 & & & & \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^N & \\
& \swarrow q_1 & & \searrow & \swarrow \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \times G & & \searrow q_2 \\
\mathbb{T}^1 & & & \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 & & & \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^N \\
& \searrow \kappa & & \swarrow p_1 & & \searrow p_2 & \\
& & \mathbb{A}^1 & & & \mathbb{A}^1 & \\
& & \searrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow G \\
& & & \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q & & &
\end{array}$$

By the proper base change theorem and the projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& G^* FT_\psi(\kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1}) \\
&= G^* R p_{2!}(p_1^* \kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes \langle , \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] \\
&\cong R q_{2!}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \times G)^*(p_1^* \kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes \langle , \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] \\
&\cong R q_{2!}\left((p_1(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \times G))^* \kappa_! \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \times G)^* \langle , \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi\right)[1] \\
&\cong R q_{2!}\left(\kappa'_1(q_1(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \times G)^* \langle , \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi\right)[1] \\
&\cong R q_{2!} \kappa'_1\left((q_1(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes \kappa'^*(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \times G)^* \langle , \rangle^* \mathcal{L}_\psi\right)[1] \\
&\cong R \pi_{2!}^{(0)}\left(\pi_1^{(0)*} \mathcal{K}_{\chi'_1} \otimes (\langle , \rangle \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \times G))^* \mathcal{L}_\psi\right)[1].
\end{aligned}$$

This proves our assertion. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *There exists an object $K \in \mathbb{D}_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that*

$$FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) = K|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1},$$

and that for any point $\mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$, where k is a field of characteristic distinct from ℓ , $K|_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}$ is a perverse sheaf.

Proof. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow 0,$$

where $0 : \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is the origin of $\mathbb{A}^1$. It gives rise to a distinguished triangle

$$0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \rightarrow$$

in $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Taking the Deligne-Fourier transformation, we get a distinguished triangle

$$FT_\psi(0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rightarrow FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) \rightarrow FT_\psi(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) \rightarrow$$

in $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. By [14, Proposition 1.2.3.1], we have

$$FT_\psi(0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1], \quad FT_\psi(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) = 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1).$$

So we have a distinguished triangle

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \rightarrow FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) \rightarrow 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1) \rightarrow .$$

All vertices of this distinguished triangle are perverse sheaves. So we have a short exact sequence of perverse sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \rightarrow FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) \rightarrow 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that $FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1])$ is an extension of $0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)$ by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]$ in the category of perverse sheaves. This extension is not trivial, that is, $FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1])$ is not isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \oplus 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)$. Otherwise, by the Fourier inversion formula ([14, Corollaire 1.2.2.3]), we would have

$$\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \cong 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \bigoplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1].$$

But this is not true. Thus $FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1])$ is isomorphic to the mapping cylinder of a nonzero morphism

$$0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1].$$

In $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1], R0^! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1]) \\ &\cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that any two nonzero morphisms $\phi_1, \phi_2 : 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]$ differ by a nonzero scalar $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1] & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \\ \mathrm{id} \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \lambda \\ 0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1] & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]. \end{array}$$

By the axiom of triangulated categories, this diagram can be extended to an isomorphism from the mapping cylinder of ϕ_1 to the mapping cylinder of ϕ_2 . Thus $FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1])$ is isomorphic to the mapping cylinder of any nonzero morphism $0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]$.

Let $0 : \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^1$ be the zero section. Again in $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,$$

and the same argument as above shows that any two nonzero morphisms $0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]$ have isomorphic mapping cylinders. Let $K \in D_c^b(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]}^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be the mapping cylinder of any nonzero morphism $0_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]$. Then we have

$$FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1]) = K|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1}.$$

Since $FT_\psi(\kappa_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1])$ is perverse, this implies that for any point $\mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ with $\mathrm{char} k = p \neq \ell$, the complex $K|_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}$ is a perverse sheaf. Using this fact and [13, Corollarie 3.2.2], one can verify that $K|_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}$ is also perverse if $\mathrm{char} k = 0$. $\square$

References

- [1] A. Adolphson, Hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials, *Duke Math. J.* 73 (1994), 269-290.
- [2] Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne, Faisceaux Pervers, in *Analyse et Topologie sur les Espace Singuliers* (I), Astérisque 100 (1980).
- [3] P. Deligne et al, Cohomologie étale (SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$), *Lecture Notes in Math.* 569, Springer-Verlag (1977).
- [4] Denef and Loeser, Weight of exponential sums, intersection cohomology and Newton polyhedra, *Invent. Math.* 106 (1991), 275-294.

- [5] L. Fu, Weight of twisted exponential sums, *Math. Zeit.* 262 (2009), 449-472.
- [6] W. Fulton, Introduction to toric varieties, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* 131, Princeton University Press (1993).
- [7] I. M. Gelfand and M. I. Graev, Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, English translation, *Doklady Math.* 64 (2001), 402-406.
- [8] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, and V. S. Retakh, Hypergeometric functions over an arbitrary field, English translation, *Russian Math. Surveys* 59 (2004), 831-905.
- [9] I. M. Gelfand, A. V. Zelevinsky, and M. M. Kapranov, Hypergeometric functions and toric varieties, English translation, *Functional Anal. Appl.* 23 (1989), 94-106; Correction to the paper “Hypergeometric functions and toric varieties”, English translation, *Functional. Anal. Appl.* 27 (1995), 295.
- [10] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, Generalized Euler integrals and A -hypergeometric functions, *Adv. Math.* 84 (1990), 255-271.
- [11] R. Hotta, Equivariant D -modules, arXiv: math/9805021v1 (1998).
- [12] N. Katz, Exponential sums and differential equations, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* 124, Princeton University Press (1990).
- [13] N. Katz and G. Laumon, Transformation de Fourier et majoration de sommes exponentielles, *Publ. Math. IHES* 62 (1985), 361-418.
- [14] G. Laumon, Transformation de Fourier, constantes d’équations fonctionnelles, et conjecture de Weil, *Publ. Math. IHES* 65 (1987), 131-210.
- [15] T. Terasoma, Hodge and Tate conjectures for hypergeometric sheaves, arXiv: alge.geom/9705023.